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## The First Epistle of John

## Chapter 1

${ }^{1}$ That which existed from the beginning, which we have heard, which we have seen with our eyes, which we have looked at and our hands have examined, talking about the word of life, ${ }^{2}$ even that life has been revealed, and we have seen $i t$, and we are bearing witness and announcing to you eternal life, ${ }^{1}$ which was with the Father and has been revealed to us. ${ }^{3}$ What we have seen and heard we are declaring to you also, so you too may have fellowship with us. And ${ }^{2}$ that fellowship of ours is with the Father, and with his son Jesus Christ. ${ }^{4}$ And these things we ${ }^{3}$ write, ${ }^{4}$ so our mutual ${ }^{5}$ joy may be full.
${ }^{5}$ And this is the message ${ }^{6}$ that we have heard from him and announce to you: that God is light, and in him is no darkness at all. ${ }^{6}$ If we say we are in fellowship with him, and are walking in darkness, we are lying, and not doing the truth. ${ }^{7}{ }^{I f}{ }^{7}$ we walk in the light, as he is in the light, we have fellowship with

[^0] 3381323436630945124115051611173918521881213822982344\right.\) syrh copsa || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 0480245$ 02962492.
${ }^{3} 1: 4$ a This is a "literary plural" according to BDF $\$ 280$.
 3263304244364424516146216236296307209451067117512411243129214091505152316111735173918441852 $18771881213822982344241224642495 \mathfrak{n i}$ vg syrp,h,pal copsamss,bo arm eth Ps-Oec TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$ 18462492.
${ }^{5}$ 1:4c txt $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \mathrm{N}$ B L $\Psi 04911835436988180181252319321322326398400 \mathrm{c} 4365226076171067117512411409$ 1501172918271837184518741875242325412774 Lect itar,z vgst,ww copsa geo Stephens-1550 HF RP NA28 \{A\} (Tyndale, Matthew's) || $\mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} v 1609$ || ن́ $\mu \hat{\nu}$ А А С к P $056014256336181889394104206218254307330365378400^{*} 424429431$ 4424514534594674686146216236296306426657208088769159189459961127124312701292129713591448 14901505152315241563159516111661167817181735173917511799183118321842184418521877188118902138 $21472186220022432298234423742412246424952544265228052818 \mathfrak{2 l} \ell 422 \ell 598 \ell 938 \ell 1021$ itt vgcl syrh,pal copbo arm eth slav Aug Bede Ps-Oec Erasmus-1516 Aldus Colinaeus Beza-1598 Elzevir-1624 Scrivener-1894 (Coverdale, Great
 editors consider the two major Greek readings to be of equal weight.) In the Greek ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ), "our" joy here means the shared joy of both the apostles declaring the things and the joy of the recipients; shared together. The sharing of the joy is made possible by the declaration herein of the apostles to the readers. Thus we need to supply a word like "mutual," or it would not be an accurate English rendering, and may sound selfish on John and the apostles' part- "our" in English sounds like only the apostles.

For those who believe that the Greek New Testament was translated from the Syriac/Aramaic Peshitta, please explain how the Greek translator got either only $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ "our" or $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ "your" out of the Peshitta's "our joy in you may be complete." It appears far more likely that the Peshitta reading was an attempted conflation of the two Greek readings, and that therefore the Peshitta was a translation from the Greek.
 35* $6981323424 c 436442614630720945106712411243140915051523152416111739185218812138229823442541$
 states that the KJV follows neither the Stephens nor Beza TR here.
 L P $5183369 \mathrm{C} 81218307398424^{*} 43644245361462162363064272080810671409144815051523152416111735$
}
one another，and the blood of Jesus Christ his Son ${ }^{8}$ cleanses us from all sin．${ }^{8}$ If we say we have no sin，we deceive ourselves，and the truth ${ }^{9}$ is not in us．${ }^{9}$ If we confess our sins，he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins，and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness．${ }^{10}$ If we say we have not sinned，we are calling him a liar，${ }^{10}$ and his word is not in us．

## Chapter 2

${ }^{1}$ My children，these things I am writing to you so that you will not sin．And if anyone sins，we have an advocate with the Father，Jesus Christ the righteous；${ }^{2}$ and he is the appeasement for our sins；and not for ours only，but also for the sins of the whole world．
${ }^{3}$ And by this we know that we have known him：if we keep his commandments．${ }^{4}$ Someone who says，＂I know him，＂and does not keep his commandments，is a liar，and the truth is not in him．${ }^{5}$ But whoever keeps his word，that is the person in whom the love of God really is accomplished．By this we know that we are in him．${ }^{6}$ Someone who claims to abide in him ought also to walk just as ${ }^{11}$ he walked．
${ }^{7}$ Beloved，${ }^{12}$ I am not writing to you a new commandment，but an old commandment that you have had from the beginning．The old commandment is the word that you have heard．${ }^{13}{ }^{8}$ Yet，it is a new

18441852213822982344249225412805 vg syrp，h copsa Clem Ps－Oec TR HF RP NA28 \｛<br>$\left|\mid lac } P^{9} P^{74} 0480245029669^{*}\right.$ 1846.
${ }^{8}$ 1：7b（TST 53）txt L．2：＇Inбoû tov̂ viov̂ גủ兀ov̂ N B C P 世 20632232342963094512411505161117391852188121382200

 1812213073984244364424504514544564574684696146216236276299201067112711751292140917351862 $187518912080212721472344241224642492254128052818 \mathfrak{n i t}^{\mathrm{itt}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}$ vgcl，ww syrh${ }^{* *}$ copbo TR HF RP｜｜lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048$ 02450296 1846．I like the number of words in the Majority Text，simply for the sake of rhythm in English．
 syrp copsa，bo eth TR HF RP PK NA28 \｛<br>$\left|\mid } \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta^{\theta} \theta \varepsilon \alpha \alpha\right.$ tov̂ $\theta$ عov̂ 6146301505161121382200 al syrh｜｜lac P9 $P^{974} 0480245$ 02961846.
${ }^{10}$ 1：10 The traditional rendering，＂make him a liar＂is unacceptable，because God cannot be a liar，and he cannot be made a liar．To make someone a liar，means to turn him into a liar．But we cannot turn him into a liar．This sense of ＂call＂for the Greek word $\pi o \iota \varepsilon ́ \omega$ is the only rendering that makes sense in some other verses as well，eg，＂Either call a tree good and its fruit good，or call the tree bad and its fruit bad．．．＂In a mathematical sense，we could render this，＂If we say we have not sinned，that amounts to him being a liar．＂The TNIV says＂we make him out to be a liar，＂which rendering does work，because that means＂as if to be，but he isn＇t．But，＂make him out to be a liar＂is really a longer way of saying＂call him a liar．＂Some might object that we are not actually＂saying＂the word＂liar＂about him，so we are not＂calling＂him a liar．That is technically true，but not true in effect．We are in effect calling him a liar．
${ }^{11}$ 2：6 txt oű $\omega \omega$ К $\mathcal{C}$ K P 世 61881941043073223234244426146296307209451175124112431292144815051523 152416111678 vid 1739184418521881213822982464 mg Lect it（ar）， $\operatorname{syr}^{2}$（arm）（eth）geo slav Origenlat $1 / 2$ Jerome ${ }^{3 / 7}$
 $1067112714091735234423742464^{\text {txt }} 25412805$ itt，w，z vg Clement Origenlat1／2 Cyril；Cyprian Jerome ${ }^{4 / 7}$ Paulinus－Nola Pelagius Maximus Augustine Fulgentius ${ }^{1 / 2}$ WH｜｜lac $P^{9} P^{74} 04802450296167818462186$.
 46761462162362963064272080891591894510671127124112431292135914091505152315241563161116781718 17351739183618421844185218621875188118912138220022982344237424122464249525412544277428052818
 42945045145445645746846952261762792011751448149017991831183720802127224324232492 2nt PsOec TR HF RP｜｜lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} P^{74} 048024502961846$ 2187．The UBS Textual Commentary says that＇A $\delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o$ í，＂which the author of 1 John
commandment I am writing to you, which is true in him and in you, since the darkness passes away and the true light keeps shining even now. ${ }^{14}{ }^{9}$ Someone who claims to be in the light, and hates his brother, is in darkness as of now. ${ }^{10}$ Someone who loves his brother is abiding in the light, and there is no cause of stumbling in him. ${ }^{15}{ }^{16}{ }^{11}$ But someone who hates his brother is in the darkness, and walks in the darkness, and does not know where he is going, because the darkness has blinded his eyes.
${ }^{12}$ To you children, I write: ${ }^{17}$ "Your sins are forgiven you for his name's sake."
${ }^{13}$ To you fathers, I write: "You know him who existed from the beginning."
To you young men, I write: "You have overcome ${ }^{18}$ the evil one."
${ }^{14}$ I have written ${ }^{19}$ to you children that you have known the Father. I have written to you fathers that you have known him who existed from the beginning. I have written to you young men that you are strong and the word of God abides in you and you have overcome the evil one.
almost never uses in the vocative (only in 3:13), crept into the Byzantine text of the present passage because of its customary usage as the introductory word in lectionary pericopes derived from the apostolos."
${ }^{13}$ 2:7b (TST 55) txt \{A\} L. 2: omit $\mathfrak{p}^{74 v i d} \aleph$ A B C P $\Psi 563388218322323424 \mathrm{c} 431436442456621623642808915945$ $106712411243135914091563171817391852188123442374246425412544^{*} 2805$ l596 latt syrp,h copsa,bo arm eth Aug
 $326378424^{*} 4294514534544574594674684695226146176296307209189201127117512921448149015051523$ 15241611167817351799183118371839184418751888189120802147220022432298241224232492249526522818 $\mathfrak{M i}$ PsOec TR HF RP || ? because of possible h.t. or h.a. 398450627 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} 0480245029633184621382186$.
${ }^{14}$ 2:8 The point that the light keeps shining even now, is that it puts new light on the old commandment; thus he can say that he is writing to us a commandment that is both old and new.
 3263984244294364504424514544564574684696146216276296309209451067112711751241124312921409 150516111678173918521862187518811891208021272147220022982344241224922495254128052818 Phot PsOec TR HF RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid L.1/2B: } \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ v \delta \alpha \lambda$ ov oủk है́ $\tau \tau v$ ह̉v $\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\mu}$ N A C 581623173524642544 || B<-->A latt cop syrp || L. 7:

${ }^{16} 2: 10 \mathrm{~b}$ I sought my soul, but my soul I could not see.
I sought my God, but my God eluded me.
I sought my brother and I found all three.
by Anonymous
${ }^{17} 2: 12$ This is the pattern in 1 John for the use of $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ ö ǒ - It is about WHAT he is writing to them, thus, "I am writing to tell you that..." or "I am writing to you as follows..." not "I am writing to you because...". John explained in the opening few verses why he is writing. The first epistle of John is meant to be assuring, and give us joy and confidence before Him. John is telling them WHAT things to know FOR CERTAIN, and is assuring his readers that they CAN KNOW some things for certain, and he tells them HOW TO KNOW some things for certain. Young men, you HAVE conquered the evil one. (Much like Ephesians says in chapters 1-3 what God has done for you and where you now stand by his grace; and chapters $4-6$ says now therefore walk in light of that which you are told you are, in the first 3 chapters.)
${ }^{18}$ 2:13 txt "ye have overcome" Oxford KJV || "you have overcome" 1611 KJV
 44245346746852261462162363072091894510671241124312921409149015011505152315241609161116781735 $173917511799183118381844185218812080214722002298234424122464249525412544^{*} 26522774280528181596$ €921 $\ell 938$ £1141 vg syrpmss,h copsa,bo arm eth Cyr Phot SBL TH NA28 \{A\} || L. 1: үpá $\varphi \omega$ K 04905601421188182889394 $218221326378398424 * 45045145445645745946962762964280891592011271175135914481718183718621891$ 21272186224324922544 c ithl,l, w syrp ${ }^{\text {mss }}$ PsOec TR HF RP || uncertain 18752374 || lac P9 $^{9} 04802450296183618462138$. The Robinson/Pierpont and Textus Receptus texts begin v. 14 at $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \psi \alpha \dot{\cup} \mu \hat{\mu} v, \pi \alpha t \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, and the preceding sentence is in v . 13. The Codex K reading is probably the result of scribes thinking that John had not in fact written such a thing earlier. The two subsequent "I have writtens" John had clearly written in the verses previously, but not this first one.
${ }^{15}$ Love not the world, neither the things that are in the world. If someone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him. ${ }^{16}$ Because all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and ${ }^{20}$ the lust of the eyes, and the pride of possessions, is not of the Father, but is of the world. ${ }^{17}$ And the world passes away, along with the lust of it, ${ }^{21}$ but whoever who does the will of God abides for ever. ${ }^{22}$
${ }^{18}$ Children, it is the last hour, and just as you have heard that antichrist ${ }^{23}$ is coming, even now many antichrists have arisen; for which reason we know it is the last hour. ${ }^{19}$ They went out from us, but were not of us. For had they been of us, they would have remained with us. But they went out so that they would be made apparent, that none ${ }^{24}$ of them are of us. ${ }^{20}$ And you have an anointing from the Holy One, and know all. ${ }^{25}{ }^{26}{ }^{21}$ I have not written to you that you don't know the truth, but that you do know it. And that every lie is not of the truth.

But what John is referring to is what he has written in the epistle as a whole. See the endnote at the end of this document for a longer treatment of this variant.

${ }^{21}$ 2:17a txt $\alpha$ đủtoû N B C K L $\Psi 04905601421835818894104181218307326330424 * 442451453468614617629630$ 642720808144815051523152416111678173518441877212722982412249224952652 2nt Lect $\ell 1439 \mathrm{~m}$ itar,c,l,p,r,t,w,z syrp,h copsa,bo arm eth Cyprian Lucifer Didymus Augustine Antiochus John-Damascus TR HF RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid omit A P } 56$ 33323398424 c 436623945106712411243140917392344246425412805 vg copsams Antioch Origen || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048$ 0245029618462138.
${ }^{22} 2: 17 \mathrm{~b}$ add "just as God abides for ever." (vgmss) (copsa "that one") Cyprian Lucifer Augustine
${ }^{23} 2: 18$ txt ǒ ơ $\aleph^{*}$ B C $\Psi 5398436522621623665 \mathrm{C} 14091739184425442805$ l596 geo Origengr Ps-DionysiusAl
 642720808945106711751241124312921448150515231524161117351852213822982344246424922541 nt Lect arm
 Lucifer Tyconius Jerome Augustine Quodvultdeus || illegible P || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 0480245029618462652$.
${ }^{24}$ 2:19c txt $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \zeta$ א A B C K L P $\Psi 5618333581218307323398442453468617621623642720808945124112431523$ 1524173517391844185218812298234424922805 vg ith,l,r,w syrh copsa,bo arm eth TR HF RP WH NA28 \{<br>$|\mid omit } 206429$ 43652263010671292140914901505161117991831213822002541 syrp Epiph Irlat || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048024502969961836$ 1846.
${ }^{25}$ 2:20a (TST 59) txt L. 1: đóvta A C K L 0490560142156183381828893104 c 175181206221307322323326424429 436442450451454456457468469614621623627629630720920945106711271175124312921409150515231524 161116781735173918621875188118912080213821472200229823442412246424922495254128052818 nit slav CyrH Did TR HF RP || L. 2: 爪áv veç N B P $\Psi 104^{*} 398459183818421852$ syrp,h copsa arm Jerome Hesychius NA28 \{B\} || om. by h.t. or h.a.: 1241 || uncertain 2127 || <--> vg ith,l,r,w copbo eth || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$ 1846. The ECM editors consider the evidence for L. 1 and L. 2 to be of equal weight. Note that I have emboldened above the witnesses that the ECM says are 1st rank. Eleven of them support Reading One, and five of them support Reading Two. I suspect L. 2 may be an "orthodox corruption," meant to prevent a gnostic interpretation, as the UBS textual commentary also discusses.
${ }^{26} 2: 20 \mathrm{~b}$ Or, perhaps another rendering may be: "19They came out from us, but were not of us. For had they been of us, they would have remained with us. But, so they may be made apparent, that they all are not of us, $20 y$ you also have an anointing from the Holy One, and know all people." This would be reminiscent of John's statement about Jesus in the gospel of John 2:24,25, that Jesus knew all people, and did not need to have someone testify about a person, because he knew what was in the person. Thus, this is why John here says "you also have..." because Jesus did, and you also have it. The apostles did, and you also have it. But, the traditional rendering of this is an ellipsis [BDF § 448(7)] as follows: "but, they went out from us, so that they might be exposed that they all are not of us. And you have an anointing from the Holy One and know all things." (There are no actual words in the Greek for the English words I put in italics here.) It isn't any harder to "know all people" than to "know all things." Indeed, there are fewer people than there are things. In the previous verse, v. 19, again the word "all" is problematic: "but so they may be made apparent, that they all are not of us." What good does "going out" do as a sign, if only some of them are thereby shown to be "not of us"? How still would you know who is who? For that "leaving" to be valuable, you would have to render this, "that they be made
${ }^{22}$ Who is the liar, if not someone denying as follows, "Jesus is not the Anointed One"? This is antichrist, someone denying the Father and the Son. ${ }^{27}{ }^{23}$ Everyone who denies the Son, does not have the Father either. Someone who confesses the Son, has the Father as well. ${ }^{28}$
${ }^{24} \mathrm{You},{ }^{29}$ what you have heard from the beginning, let it abide in you. If what you heard from the beginning abides in you, you also in the Son and in the Father will abide. ${ }^{25}$ And this is the message that He announced to us: ${ }^{30}$ eternal life. ${ }^{26}$ These things I have written to you because of those deceiving you.
${ }^{27}$ And you, the anointing that you received from him, it abides in you, and you have no need that someone teach you. But rather, as that anointing from him ${ }^{31}$ has taught you concerning all things, ${ }^{32}$ and is true and is not a lie, so also, just as it has taught you, you abide ${ }^{33}$ in him. ${ }^{28}$ And now, children, abide in him, so that when he is revealed, we may have ${ }^{34}$ confidence, and not feel ashamed because of him at his
apparent, that NONE of them are of us." And then can John really be saying that ANYONE who leaves us is not of us? If so, what of Jesus' warning to the disciples that just because someone does not "follow along with us," does not mean they are not with us? (Luke 9:49,50 etc.)
${ }^{27}$ 2:22 An example of such a denial is found in the Qu'ran: [9.30] And the Jews say: Uzair is the son of Allah; and the Christians say: The Messiah is the son of Allah; these are the words of their mouths; they imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them; how they are turned away! [9.31] They have taken their doctors of law and their monks for lords besides Allah, and (also) the Messiah son of Marium and they were enjoined that they should serve one God only, there is no god but He; far from His glory be what they set up (with Him).
 62162363091810671127124312921409150516781735173918521881208021382147220022982344241224642495 25412818 vg syrp,h copsa,bo arm eth NA28 \{<br>$\left|\mid omit(h.t) K L. } 0490560142161881828893175181221424^{*} 450451454\right.$ 456457469627629920945117512411862187518771891212724922815 ps-oec TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$. The KJV does not follow the TR here; perhaps because the KJV followed the Great Bible and others, or perhaps because the omission (as in the TR) is a clear case of homoioteleuton. We can see by this that the KJV translators did practice textual criticism. The phrase is not found in Tyndale, Coverdale, Matthew's, nor 1560, 1599 Geneva Bibles; is found in the Great Bible, and the Bishops' Bible.
 Aug TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
 1292c 140915051611173517391844185218772138229823442412246424922495 2nt Lect itar,h,l,t vg syrp,h copsa,bo arm eth geo slav Ambr Aug TR HF RP NA28 \{A\} || úpîv B 69* 2414511241 1292* 18812127 €1441 itc* vgmss || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048$ 02450296.
${ }^{31}$ 2:27b txt tò $\alpha$ ủtoû N B C P $\Psi 5338130732361462363094512411505161117391852213822982344246424922805$
 aútò A K L 049056014261842414481735 2nt Jerome Augpt Theophylact TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
${ }^{32}$ 2:27d See John 16:13, "But when that one comes, the Spirit of truth, he will guide you in all the truth. For he will not speak from himself, but rather, whatever things he hears he will speak; and he will report to you the things that are coming."
 234424642805 syrp,h arm eth NA28 \{ <br>$\| b. Heveitc(fut ind) K L } 0490560142618307424$ copsamss ps-oec $\mathfrak{2 n}$ TR HF RP || c. $\mu \varepsilon ı v \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (aor act imper) $88 \|$ a. or c.: lat-v,t,c copsams,bo,v || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$. All of these variants may be rendered as an English imperative.
${ }^{34}$ 2:28b (TST 62) txt L. 2: $\sigma \chi \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v \pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ \alpha v\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ pl aor2 subj) $N^{1}$ A B C P 世 681104181307322323424 c 4426219451241
 $0142518338293175206221326398424^{*} 4294364504514544574686146236276296309201067129214091505$ 161118621891208021272138214722002412249525412805 2N TR HF RP || L. 1B: 1884564691127117523442464 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048024502961846$.
coming. ${ }^{29}$ If you know ${ }^{35}$ that he is righteous, you know that ${ }^{36}$ everyone who practices righteousness is born of him.

## Chapter 3

${ }^{1}$ Behold what manner of love the Father has given to us, that we should be called children of God. And we are! ${ }^{37}$ The reason the world does not know us ${ }^{38}$ is this: it has not known him. ${ }^{2}$ Beloved, now we are children of God, though it is not yet revealed what exactly we will be. $\mathrm{We}^{39}$ do know that when he is revealed, we will be like him. For we will see him just as he is. ${ }^{3}$ And everyone who has this hope on Him purifies himself, just as that one is pure.
${ }^{4}$ Everyone practicing sin is also practicing lawlessness, and sin is lawlessness. ${ }^{5}$ And you know that he was revealed so that sins ${ }^{40}$ be taken away, and there is no $\sin$ in him. ${ }^{6}$ Everyone abiding in him does not sin. Everyone who keeps sinning has not seen him, neither known him.
${ }^{7}$ Children, let no one deceive you: someone who practices righteousness is righteous, just as that one is righteous. ${ }^{8}$ Someone who practices sin is of the devil, for the devil has been sinning from the beginning. For this purpose the son of God has been revealed: to destroy the works of the devil. ${ }^{9}$ Everyone born of God does not practice sin, because His seed abides in him, and it is not able to sin, because it is born from God. ${ }^{41}{ }^{10}$ By this the children of God are evident versus the children of the devil:

[^1]everyone who does not practice righteousness is not of God, and also someone who does not love his brother.
${ }^{11}$ Because this is the message which you have heard from the beginning: that we should love one another. ${ }^{12}$ Not like Cain, who was of the evil one, and killed his brother. And what was the reason he killed him? Because his own works were evil, and those of his brother were righteous.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{Do}^{42}$ not marvel, brethren, ${ }^{43}$ if the world hates you. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{We}$ know that we have crossed over out of death into life, ${ }^{44}$ because we love the brethren. Someone who does not love his brother ${ }^{45}$ still abides in
every day for the rest of their life, as long as they repent every day. Not so. Anyone who has NOT been born again, and who does NOT have the Spirit, even they could do that. There is no evidence of the miracle of new birth in such a person. (John in 1 John $3: 6$ says "Everyone abiding in him does not sin. Everyone who keeps sinning has not seen him, neither known him.") In fact, if someone is saved, and overcomes sin in their life for a few months or years right after they were saved, but then falls back into addiction to a sin, the apostle Peter says in 2 Peter 2:20,21: ${ }^{20}$ For if after having escaped the moral corruptions of the world through the knowledge of the Lord and Savior Jesus Christ they are but again entangled, defeated by them, their latter state has become worse for them than their former. ${ }^{21}$ For it would have been better for them not to have known the path of righteousness, than though knowing it, to turn back from the holy commandment that was delivered to them." Jesus himself said that a servant who did not know the will of God and disobeyed, will receive few lashes, so to speak. But the servant who knew the will of God, and disobeyed, will receive many more lashes. Jesus also said in John 15:1-8 that he is the vine, we are the branches. If a branch that is in Me (a Christian who received the gospel, was born again, and received the Holy Spirit) does not bear fruit, he will cut off that branch and throw it in the fire. If we do not remain in the Spirit, walking in the Spirit, bearing fruit in the Spirit, we will bear no fruit and we will wither, and we will GO TO HELL, the fire, and be burned! For a Christian who backslides, the punishment in hell will be worse than for someone who was never a Christian! It is also like the parable of the 10 virgins. They all ten believed in the Lord, and were waiting for his return. But some did not get extra oil, and their lamp did not last all the way until the end. When they knocked on the Groom's door, the groom said "Go away, I do not know you." Jesus also said of the hypocrites, they have their inheritance in outer darkness. Who is a hypocrite? Someone living a double life. By most appearances, they are a Christian. But unknown to people, they have an addiction to their prevailing sin, with no victory over it, they are not an overcomer. These people GO TO HELL. Do not let that be you. And do not think that I teach Once Saved Always Saved. We are not fully saved until Jesus returns. Even the apostle Paul was afraid; he said he was severe with his body, keeping it in subjection, lest after preaching to others, he himself be disqualified. Yes, the Apostle Paul was afraid of being disqualified, afraid of running the race in vain. Yes you see, it is possible to run the race in vain. In another place, he said, "knowing therefore the terror of the Lord..." Friend, do you know the terror of the Lord? Jesus said, Fear the one who has the power to cast both soul and body into Gehenna, the lake of fire. Yes, I tell you, fear him." Hell is real, and it is worse than we can imagine. Sin should be a rare thing in a Christian's life. John here in his first epistle says, "But if anyone does sin, we have an advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the Righteous." But practicing sin, doing the same sin the rest of your life, no. That is not at all something found in a Christian. Not a Christian that is going to enter heaven. Such a Christian will go to hell. If you still have an addiction to a sin, then stop everything, quit your job if you must! Fast and pray and seek the Lord, and mourn and wail, until you find the power of the Spirit and victory over your sin, and make sure you love your brother and your neighbor, and love God and seek him with all your heart, and offer the sacrifice of praise daily to God. Root out all bitterness as well, forgive everyone you have a grudge against, reconcile with everyone as much as possible. If you do not forgive others their sins, neither will your Father forgive you your sins. Jesus Christ the son of God said that, and Jesus Christ is as SERIOUS as HELL about his words! God is love. God is light. Unforgiveness is darkness. No person carrying darkness will enter Heaven. No person who is not characterized by holiness will enter heaven. No one who is a friend of the world will enter heaven. Be unspotted by the world. Jesus said, "My sheep hear my voice." Do you hear his voice, and do what he tells you to do? Paul said, "As many as are led by the Spirit of God, THEY are the sons of God." Does Jesus Christ know you? Is he directing your life, and not your natural human desires directing your life, like everyone in the world? These things are oil in your lamp. Be found doing these things when He returns, and definitely be not found defeated by a $\sin$. Oh No, NO, be not found defeated by a sin when He returns.
${ }^{42}$ 3:13a txt $\mu$ म̀ A B K L 049056014251833 vid 8188104181326330424 c 43644245161463010671175129214091505 $16111735184418521877212721382344241224642495 \mathfrak{n t h}_{\text {Lect ith,w }}$ vg syrh copsa,bo,fay geo slav Didymus Lucifer Jerome Paulinus-Nola Aug WH Vog Merk Bov NA25 TR AT BG SBL TH RP || kai ph̀ X Cvid P $\Psi 6322323424^{*} 62994512411243$ 16781739188122982492 itr,q,z vgms syrp arm eth NA28 \{C\} || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$ 1846. The ECM editors consider the evidence for these two readings to be of equal weight.
death. ${ }^{15}$ Everyone who hates his brother is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life abiding in him. ${ }^{46}{ }^{16}$ By this we know love: ${ }^{47}$ because He laid down his life for us. And we ought to lay down ${ }^{48}$ our lives for the brethren.
${ }^{17}$ Now whoever has the substance of the world, and sees ${ }^{49}$ his brother having need of it, and closes off his compassion from him, how does the love of God abide ${ }^{50}$ in him? ${ }^{18}$ Children, ${ }^{51}$ we should love, not in word or tongue, ${ }^{52}$ but in action ${ }^{53}$ and truth, ${ }^{19}$ and by this ${ }^{54}$ we will know ${ }^{55}$ that we are of the truth, and will assure our heart ${ }^{56}$ before him ${ }^{20}$ that when our heart accuses, that ${ }^{57}$ God is greater than our heart, and
 $6301505 \mathfrak{2} \mathfrak{i t}$ vgms syrh ${ }^{2}$ R HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048024502961846$.
${ }^{44}$ 3:14 see John 5:24
 456457468469627920112711751678173518621875189120802127214722982818 2nt Lect vgmss slav Cassiodorus TR
 241224952541 itar vgmss syrp,h copsamss eth Tyconius || L. 2: dá ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \pi \hat{\omega}$ N N A B 33322323398623629945124112431739 185218812344246424922805 ith,q,r,w.z vg copsamss,bo,fay arm geo Lucifer Augustine NA28 \{A\} || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$ 1846.
 $P^{74} 04802450296$.
${ }^{47}$ 3:16a The KJV adds "of God" in italics, so the Compl. Polyglot, Beza 1589 and 1598 (not 1565), and 1582 Rheims translation.
 TR HF RP || omit $\Psi$ || illeg 33 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
${ }^{49}$ 3:17a txt $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \hat{n}$ N A B C P 世 18424 c 6301739 TR HF RP NA28 \{<br>$\left|\mid } \theta \varepsilon \omega \rho \varepsilon ı\right.$ K L $0496981323424^{*} 61412411505$ || illeg $33 \| \operatorname{lac} \mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$. This verse contains instances of the TR HF RP editions not following the conjunction of K and L; see also next footnote.
 811505 || $\mu \varepsilon v \eta \Psi$ || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 0480245029633$.
 $6142298 \mathfrak{2 t}$ ps-oec eth TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
${ }^{52}$ 3:18b txt $\tau \mathfrak{n} \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma n$ A B C K L 1832342461463015051739 HF RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid ү } \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma n \times P$ P 13363294512411881 2464 al TR || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
 02450296.
${ }^{54}$ 3:19a kגì ह̉v toút $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ א C K L P Y 04918818810418132232333042445194511751241124317391877188121272298 $2492 \mathfrak{n t}$ Lect itq,r,w syrp copsa,boms arm eth slav Aug TR HF RP NA28 \{C\} || $̇ v$ toút $\omega$ A B 326436623629106714091735 23442464 itar,, ,h,p,t,z vg copbo,fay geo Clement || кaì غ̇k toútou 61463012921505161118441852213824122495 || غ̇k toútou syrh pc || $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’’ ह̇к toútou 206429 || кגì тoút $\omega v 0560142$ || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.
${ }^{55}$ 3:19b txt $\gamma v \omega \sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ א A B C P ч $81322323424 c 436945106712411243129214091505161117351739188121382298$
 Augustine TR HF RP \| $\operatorname{\gamma iv} \omega$ ckóne $\theta \propto 18441852$ itq || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$.

 lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} 04802450296$ 33. The Muenster online apparatus indicates their opinion that $\mathfrak{P}^{74}$ and minuscule 33 probably

 ötı A 336121832643662964280810671127140918271837234423742541 latt copsamss,bo PsOec || lac P $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74}$ P 0480245 0296124118461875.
he knows all. ${ }^{21}$ Beloved, when our ${ }^{58}$ heart does not accuse us, ${ }^{59}$ we have confidence with God, ${ }^{22}$ and whatever we ask, we receive from him, because we are keeping his commandments, and doing the things that are pleasing in his sight.
${ }^{23}$ And this is his commandment: that we believe ${ }^{60}$ in the name of his son Jesus Christ, and that we love one another, just as he gave us ${ }^{61}$ commandment. ${ }^{24}$ And someone keeping his commandments is abiding in Him, and He in that person. And by this we know that we abide in him: by the Spirit which he has given to us.

## Chapter 4

${ }^{1}$ Beloved, do not believe every spirit, but test the spirits, whether they are of God; because many false prophets have gone out into the world. ${ }^{2}$ By this you know ${ }^{62}$ the spirit of God: every spirit that confesses that Jesus Christ has come in the flesh, is of God, ${ }^{3}$ and every spirit that does not confess ${ }^{63}$ Jesus [Christ has come in the flesh], ${ }^{64}$ is not of God. ${ }^{65}$ And this is antichrist, which you have heard that he ${ }^{66}$

[^2]was coming, and now is in the world already. ${ }^{4}$ You are of God, children, and have overcome him, because greater is he that is in you than he that is in the world. ${ }^{5}$ They are of the world; therefore speak they of the world, and the world hears them. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{We}$ are of God. Someone who knows God hears us; someone who is not of of God does not hear us. From this ${ }^{67}$ we recognize the spirit of truth versus the spirit of error. ${ }^{68}$
${ }^{7}$ Beloved, let us love one another; for love is of God, and everyone who loves is born of God, and knows God. ${ }^{8}$ Someone who does not love has not known God, for God is love. ${ }^{9}$ To us the love of God was made known in this: that God sent his only begotten son into the world so we might be saved through him. ${ }^{10}$ Herein is love, not that we loved ${ }^{69}$ God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son as the appeasement for our sins.
${ }^{11}$ Beloved, if that is how God loved us, we ought also to love ${ }^{70}$ one another. ${ }^{71}{ }^{12}$ No one has ever seen God. When we love one another, God abides in us, and his love is accomplished in us. ${ }^{13}$ By this we know that we are abiding in him and he in us: because he has given to us of his Spirit.
${ }^{14}$ And we have seen, and we bear witness, that the Father has sent the Son as savior of the world. ${ }^{15}$ Whoever ${ }^{72}$ confesses that Jesus is the son of God, God abides in that person, and that person in God. ${ }^{16}$ And we have known and believed the love that God has in us. God is love; and someone who abides in love is abiding in God, and God in him. ${ }^{73}{ }^{17}$ In this, love is accomplished with us, so that in the day of judgment we may have confidence that just as that one is, we in this world also are. ${ }^{74}{ }^{18}$ There is no fear
 lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} P 04802450296$. Here we have TR and RP agreeing with Sinaiticus and Vaticanus rather than with K and L.
 found in v. 2, even omitting the article tòv, like in v. 2.
${ }^{66} 4: 3 e$ Like the beast of Revelation, this spirit of antichrist is both an "it" and a "him."


 preceeding ék toútov; this is the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{v}$. found in it) \| lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} P 02450296$.
${ }^{68} 4: 6 \mathrm{~b}$ Or, "from this we recognize the true spirit versus the deceiving spirit."
 $10671175124312921409150516111735184418521881213823442464 \mathfrak{n t}$ Lect arm geo slav Philo-Carpasia TR HF RP ||

${ }^{70} 4: 11$ txt $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha}$ TR HF NA28 \{<br>$|\mid } \alpha$ र $\alpha \pi$ ậv RP.
${ }^{71}$ 4:11b The same "one another" we are supposed to love, is the people that Jesus died for. We ought to love that brother and sister because God loved that same brother or sister to the extent of giving his son's life for them.
${ }^{72}$ 4:15 txt k̇àv B 32361463015051739 NA28 \{<br>$\left|\mid äv NA K L } 183381424\right.$ TR HF RP || omit $\Psi$ || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C}$ P 04802450296.
 TR || $\tau \omega$ Ө $\theta \omega \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon 1048630$ || lac P $^{74} \mathrm{C} P 02450296$.
${ }^{74}$ 4:17 The present tense of "we in this world also are" at first seems awkward, but upon meditation you realize it fits with "accomplished." We can be accomplished in love in the present, before we see Him.
in love, but perfect love casts out fear. Because fear has torment, and someone who is afraid is not accomplished in love. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{We}$ can love, ${ }^{75}$ because he first loved us.
${ }^{20}$ If anyone says, "I love God," and he hates ${ }^{76}$ his brother, he is a liar. For someone who does not love his brother whom he has seen, cannot love God whom he has not seen. ${ }^{77}{ }^{21}$ And this commandment we have from him: that he who loves God must also love his brother.

## Chapter 5

${ }^{1}$ Everyone who believes that Jesus is the Anointed One is born of God, and everyone who loves the one who begat will also ${ }^{78}$ love someone begotten from him. ${ }^{2}$ By this we know that we love the children of God: when we love God and are doing ${ }^{79}$ his commandments. ${ }^{3}$ For this is love of God: that we keep his commandments. And his commandments are not heavy to bear, ${ }^{4}$ because everyone who has been born of God overcomes the world. And this is the victory that overcomes the world: our ${ }^{80}$ faith. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Who}{ }^{81}$ is overcomer of the world, except someone who believes that Jesus is the Son of God?

[^3]${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ is the one who came through water and blood, ${ }^{82}$ Jesus Christ. ${ }^{83}$ Not only by water, but by water and ${ }^{84}$ blood. And the Spirit is one ${ }^{85}$ bearing witness, because the Spirit ${ }^{86}$ is truth. ${ }^{7}$ For there are three that bear witness, ${ }^{87} 8$ the Spirit and the water and the blood, and these three agree. ${ }^{88}{ }^{89}{ }^{9}$ If we accept the
${ }^{81} 5: 5$ txt $\{\mathrm{D}\}$ દ̇ $\sigma \tau \iota v ~ A ~ L ~ \Psi ~ 048 ~ 5 ~ 18 ~ 81 ~ 88 ~ 104 ~ 218 ~ 398 ~ 424 * ~ 436 ~ 459 ~ 623 ~ 642 ~ 720 c ~ 808 ~ 1067 ~ 1409 ~ 1846 ~ 2464 ~ 2541 ~ \mathfrak{n t ~ v g ~}$ copsa ${ }^{\text {mss,bomss }}$ PsOec TR HF RP || ס́́ $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau v N$ K P 0296633307323424 c 442453614630720 * 94512411243144815051523 1611167817391852188121382186229823442805 syrh Cyr NA28 ECM $\{\backslash\}\left|\mid \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v \delta \varepsilon ́ \operatorname{B~||~lac~} \mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245\right.$. Many of the Syriac and Coptic manuscripts are such that they support either $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ or $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, but not $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ without $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$.
 4574684696276299201175 1739txt $18621875188121272298 \mathfrak{M i}$ RP NA28 \{A\} || L. 3: סı’ v̋סatoç кגì $\pi v \varepsilon u ́ \mu \alpha \tau 0 \varsigma 9451241$






 TR || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 048024533$.
${ }^{84} 5: 6 \mathrm{c}$







........................кגì モ̉v.................................七ò $\pi v \varepsilon v ̂ \mu \alpha ́ \alpha ~ 048 ~$


lacuna $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245$
The difference between the NA28 and the Majority text is the presence or absence of the word $\varepsilon \in v$, which makes no difference in meaning, since the dative case is indicated in the noun forms either way. This variant boils down do the minor difference between codex Aleph versus codex B. The ECM editors consider the NA28 and RP readings to be of equal weight.
${ }^{85} 5: 6 \mathrm{~d}$ Compare John 8:18, where John uses the definite article in the same way. The point is that John is counting the witnesses. Because "every matter must be established by two or three witnesses."
${ }^{86} 5: 6 \mathrm{e}$ ǒ $\tau \iota$ tò $\pi v \varepsilon \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha ́$ TR HF RP NA28 $\{\backslash\}$ || Vulgate and Latin tradition substitutes either "Christ" or "Jesus Christ," "Christ Jesus" or "Jesus."

 armmss eth geo slav Clementlat (Origenlat) Cyril Dam Ps-Oec Ps-Dionysiusvid (John-Damascus); Rebaptism Ambrose

 61629 88marg., 429 marg., 636 marg., arm; 918: with other minor variants) TR [AT in smaller font \& in italics] || add in terra, spiritus et aqua et sanguis (+ et hi tres unum sunt in Christo Iesu vgmss; Spec). 8 et tres sunt, qui testimonium dicunt in caelo, pater, verbum (filius it ${ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{vgmss}$ ) et spiritus, et hi tres unum sunt ( + in Christo Iesu it ${ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{vgmss}$ ) itl $1, \mathrm{r}$ vgmss; Speculum Varimadum Ps-Vigilius (Fulgentius) (Priscillian) (Cyprian) || illegible 331734 || lacuna $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245356567610612911$ ? 99712401277 175918592201 2799. There should be no doubt of the following trinity of facts: (1) that King James Onlyists do not believe in a Majority Text. (2) that the King James Version has some textual corruptions. (3) that the Johannine Comma is not original scripture.
witness of human beings, the witness of God is greater. For this is the witness of God that ${ }^{90}$ he has testified concerning his Son. ${ }^{10}$ Someone who believes in the Son of God has the witness ${ }^{91}$ in himself. ${ }^{92}$ But someone who does not trust in God ${ }^{93}$ has called him a liar, because he has not trusted the testimony that God has testified concerning his Son. ${ }^{11}$ And this is the testimony: God has given to us eternal life, ${ }^{94}$ and that life is in his Son. ${ }^{12}$ Someone who has the Son of God has life. Someone who does not have the Son of God ${ }^{95}$ does not have life.
${ }^{13}$ I have written these things to you ${ }^{96}$ who believe in the name of the Son of God, so that you will know you have eternal life. ${ }^{97}{ }^{14}$ And this is the confidence that we have with him, that, if we ask for

[^4] 18424^{*} 630 \mathfrak{2 k}\right.\) RP || o $\eta$ v 81 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 04802450296$.
 A 81424 C 62394512412464 al latt || illeg. 33 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathrm{C} 0480245$
${ }^{92}$ 5:10b txt ह̇v $\alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{1}$ B1 $\mathrm{K} 81104326424^{*} 436442468629106711751241140916781735188418521881$ txt $21272344 \mathfrak{M t}$
 330424 C 45161463094512431292150516111739184618771881 mg 21382412249224952298 €422 ( $\ell 1153$ ) €1159 $\ell 1441$ $\ell_{\text {AD }} \mathrm{ir}$ r, l, vg syrp copsa? arm eth (geo) Augustine Cyril ${ }^{3 / 4}$ Ps-Oec Speculum TR || __ 33 || aútov̂ l884 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0480245$.
 1735 1739mg $18441846185218771881212721382298241224922495 \mathfrak{n t}$ Lect itl,r syrp,h copbopt slav Cyril; Augustine

 33.
 69323614630150517391881 syrh $\leqslant$ l lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245$ 33. The ECM editors consider these two readings to be of equal weight.
${ }^{95} 5: 12$ txt tòv viòv toû $\theta$ roû Oxford KJV, Stephens 1550 TR RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid ròv viòv } 1611 \mathrm{KJV}$.
${ }^{96} 5 \cdot 13 \mathrm{a}$ (TST 73a) txt L. 2: ن́ $\mu \mathrm{\mu iv}$ ǐv $\alpha$ عiठ
 ővouณ tov̂ viov̂ tov̂ Өrov̂ (with variation) א* K L P $04905601421188182104175206221307398424^{*} 429442450451$ $454468469614627630920945112711751241 \mathrm{c} 1292161118461862187518912080214722002298241224922818 \mathfrak{n t}$ TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 04802450296$.
${ }^{97}$ 5:13c (TST 74) txt L. 2: א A B 5633424 c 4364566236291067140915051735185221382344246425412805 NA28 <br>\} ||
 42944246846961462162763092011751243129216111862187518812080214722002298241224952818 TR HF RP ||
 tov̂ $\theta$ عov̂ 1241 || h.t. or h.a. 88181326 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 04802450296$.
}
something that is in accordance with his will，he hears us．${ }^{98}{ }^{15}$ And if we know that he hears us， whatever ${ }^{99}$ we ask，we know that we have the petitions that we have asked of him．
${ }^{16}$ If anyone sees his brother sinning a sin not to death，he shall ask，and God will give him life，for those not sinning to death．There is sin to death；I am not saying to ask about that．${ }^{100}{ }^{17}$ All unrighteousness is sin，and there is sin not to death．${ }^{18}$ We know that everyone born of God does not practice sin，but instead what was generated of ${ }^{101}$ God keeps itself，${ }^{102}$ and the evil one does not touch it．${ }^{103}$
${ }^{19}$ We know that we are of God，and the whole world lies captive in ${ }^{104}$ the evil one．${ }^{20}$ And we know that the Son of God has come，,$^{105}$ and he has given us the understanding to know ${ }^{106}$ the truth．${ }^{107}$ And we are in the truth，in his son Jesus Christ．He is the true God，and eternal life．${ }^{108}$
 C 04802450296.
${ }^{99}$ 5：15a txt द̇àv $\mathcal{K}^{*}$ K L P 世 5618813234244426146216236301241150516781739185223442805 syrh HF RP NA28 \｛<br>$\left|\mid öv A B } 01423369\right.$ TR｜｜lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 048024502961041846$.
${ }^{100} 5: 16$ See how a brother sinning is considered a rare thing．In this epistle John warns us not to be deceived．We must not think that because we have received grace and the blood of Jesus was shed for us，and we receive that forgiveness， that we can go on sinning，and willfully sin all our lives，and then right before death confess it all and repent，and think Jesus will know us．No，my friends，if that is what your life was，Jesus will say，＂Depart from me；I never knew you．＂ God is not mocked．Whatever a man sows，that shall he also reap．Someone who is born of God does not practice sin． Jesus said Be perfect，as your Father in heaven is perfect．John says yes that we might stumble sometimes．But you cannot keep on willfully sinning after you got saved．That makes you an even more wicked person that someone who was never saved．Jesus said that your punishment will be extra severe．Your punishment in hell．Peter said that someone who is saved，but then returns to a life of sin，the final fate of that person is worse than it would have been without being saved．Who do you think Jesus is referring to when he says that many will say to him on judgement day， ＂Lord，did we not cast out demons in your name，and in your name perform miracles？etc．Jesus will say to them， Depart from me you people who do wickedness！I never knew you．＂You cannot live a wicked life and expect to escape hell，the Lake of Fire．Just because you believe John 3：16 does not mean that if you live a sinful life，you will escape hell． The Devil believes John 3：16．Do not be deceived．And yes，for a brother，a believer，there is sin to death．It says so in this verse， 1 John $5: 16$ ．John $3: 16$ will not help you escape 1 John $5: 16$ if you live a willfully sinful life．
${ }^{101}$ 5：18a txt ó yevvnӨzic દ̇к א A B K L P 世 188132232342443661463094510671175124112431292140916111735 $173918441846188122982344 \mathfrak{2 r}$ Lect arm eth geo slav TR HF RP NA28 \｛A\} ||
 0296.
${ }^{102}$ 5：18b txt દ́autóv N Ac K L P 世 04905601425618338188181322323326424436442629630945106711751241 $124312921409161117351739184418461877188121272298234424642492 \mathfrak{2 k}$ Lect arm eth geo slav Origen TR RP NA28 \｛C\} || autov A* B* 18522138 vg｜｜aútóv B² 33045161415051852213824122495 itar，l，t vg Chromatius Jerome Vigilius SBL｜｜lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 04802450296$ 104．The UBS textual commentary：＂The Committee understood ó $\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \varepsilon i \zeta$ to



${ }^{103} 5: 18 \mathrm{c}$ Or，＂does not touch it．＂I don＇t see this as the believer keeping himself（I agree that $\dot{o} y \varepsilon v v \eta \theta \varepsilon i c, ~ i s ~ e l s e w h e r e ~$ not used of the believer，－in fact it is not used at all anywhere else in the Greek Bible，and I agree that it is not referring to the believer here），but more along the lines of Paul＇s teaching in Romans 7：15－25，that when I sin，it is not＂ I ＂who is sinning，but sin that dwells within me．Thus the idea that the new man，the new creation，the new generation in me born from God，does not sin，but the former man does，and is still present in me，trying to control my body，at war with the new man in me that was generated by God．The new generation，that part of me that was born from God cannot sin； everything born of God does not sin．It is correct to expect the two different apostles to be in harmony with each other in their teachings，as they had the same teacher and the one and the same Holy Spirit．As in fact they are in agreement．
${ }^{104}$ 5：19 The Greek phrase $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi 0 \vee \eta \rho \hat{\varrho}$ к $\varepsilon \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ hints at helplessness and powerlessness or passivity of some kind，＂in＂ the evil one．Something like＂lies helpless，lies asleep，or lies dead．＂These were some of the meanings of the word
${ }^{21}$ Children, keep yourselves ${ }^{109}$ from idols. ${ }^{110}$

кદิ̂ $\mu \propto 1$, according to the Liddell and Scott lexicon. But the word can also mean "situated in, exist." The word for "in" would indicate limits of the subjects' universe. There is a connotation of captivity and limitation. It is problematic to phrase this as simply "the whole world lies in the evil one," since today's schools, at least in the USA, allow students and English speakers to fail to understand the distinction betweent the intransitive form of the word, "lie," versus the transitive form, "lay." A great many Americans know English so poorly, that they might mis-understand the phrase "the whole world lies in the evil one" to mean, "the whole world 'tells lies,' 'deceives' in the evil one."
${ }^{105} 5: 20 a \operatorname{txt} \eta$ ๆ̌кєı TR HF RP NA28 $\{\backslash\}|\mid$ add: "et carnum induit nostri causa et passus est et resurrexit a mortuis; adsumpsit nos..." ("[The Son of God came] and was clothed with flesh for our sake, and suffered, and arose from the dead; he adopted us...") vgmss Julianus of Toledo
${ }^{106}$ 5:20b txt $\gamma \imath \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \varepsilon v B^{2}$ K $\Psi 518323424623 \mathrm{C} 63015051739185222982344$ 2n TR HF RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid үıv }$ B* L P 049163381321326442614 623* 12431678184518462805 || lac $\mathrm{P}^{9} \mathrm{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 048024502961041241$.
${ }^{107}$ 5:20c txt tòv $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ ıvóv $\aleph^{1}$ B K L P $0490560142188188330424^{*} 44245112431678187721272492$ Byz Lect TR RP


 186981 424* 6146309451505 (1881) pm HF || $\zeta \omega \eta ̀ ~ \alpha i ́ \omega ́ v ı o s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \chi \omega \nu ~ \Psi ~\left|\mid ~ l a c ~ P P^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 04802450296\right.$.
${ }^{109}$ 5:21a txt $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \dot{\alpha} \aleph^{*}$ B L 63234241739 pm RP NA28 \{<br>$|\mid } \tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \Psi| \mid \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau 0 u ̀ \varsigma N^{1}$ A K P $048049^{* v i d} 5183381436442468$ 614630945150518521881 pm Didymus TR HF || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 02450296$ 1241. The editors of the ECM consider the two major Greek variants to be of equal weight.
${ }^{110}$ 5:21b (TST 75) txt L. 2: عỉ $\delta \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega$ v. א A B $\Psi 156338893322323326424 \mathrm{c} 42943644245662162362963010671127$ 12431292140915051611173517391846188121382200229823442464249224952541 l593 l596 l884 itar,l,q vgww,st
 $221307398424^{*} 45045145445746846961462791892094511751678184418521862187518771891208021272147$


## Principal Witnesses to 1 John

| MS | Date | Alt | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}^{9}$ | III | P. Oxy. 402 | Cambridge, Mass., Harvard Univ., Semitic Mus., Inv. Nr. 3736 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{74}$ | VII | P. Bodmer XVII | Cologne/Genf, Bibl. Bodmeriana, P. Bodmer XVII |
| $\aleph$ | IV | 01 | London, the British Library, Add. 43725 |
| A | V | 02 | London, British Library, Royal 1 D. VIII |
| B | IV | 03 | Vatican Library, Vat. gr. 1209 |
| C | V | 04 | Paris, National Library, Gr. 9; Ephraemi Syri Rescriptus |
| K | IX | 018 | Moscow, Hist. Mus., V. 93, S. 97 |
| L | IX | 020 | Rome, Bibl. Angelica, 39 |
| P | IX | 025 | St. Petersburg, Russ. Nat. Libr., Gr. 225 |
| $\Psi$ | IX/X | 044 | Athos, Lavra, B' 52 |
| 048 | V |  | Vatican Libr., Vat. gr. 2061, fol. 198, 199, 221, 222, 229, 230, 293-303, 305-308 |
| 049 | IX |  | Athos, Lavra, A' 88 |
| 056 | X |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 201 |
| 0142 | X |  | Munich, Bayerisch Staatsbibl., Gr. 375 |
| 0245 | VI |  | Birmingham, Selly Oak Coll., Mingana Georg. 7 |
| 0296 | VI |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery, $\Sigma \pi$. MГ 48, 53, 55 |
| 1 | XII |  | Basel, Univ. Bibl. A. N. IV. 2 |
| 5 | XIII |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 106 |
| 6 | XIII |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 112 |
| 18 | 1364 | 2 T | Paris, National Library Greek 47 |
| 33 | IX |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 14 |
| 35 | XI | 27t | Paris, BN, Cod. Coislin 20 |
| 69 | XV | $f^{13}$ | Leicester, Leicestershire Record Office, Cod. 6 D 32/1 ("Codex Leicestrensis") |
| 81 | 1044 |  | London, Brit. Libr., Add. 20003, 57 fol. (Act); Alexandria, Griech. Patriarchat, 59, 225 fol. |
| 82 | X | 2 t | Paris, National Library Gr. 237 |
| 88 | XII |  | Naples, Bibl. Naz., MS II. A. 7 |
| 93 | X |  | Paris, National Library Coislin Gr. 205 |
| 104 | 1087 |  | London, British Library, Harley 5537 |
| 175 | X/XI |  | Rome, Vatican Library Gr. 2080 |
| 181 | X |  | Vatican Library Reg. Gr. 179 |
| 206 | XIII |  | London, Lambeth Palace, 1182 |
| 218 | XIII |  | Vienna, Austria; Nat. Libr., Theol. gr. 23, NT: fol. 486-623 |
| 221 | X | 2 t | Oxford, Bodl. Libr., Canon. Gr. 110 |
| 307 | X |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coislin Gr. 25 |
| 322 | XV |  | London, Brit. Libr., Harley 5620 |
| 323 | XII |  | Genf, Bibl. publ. et univ., Gr. 20 |
| 326 | X |  | Oxford, Lincoln Coll., Gr. 82 |
| 330 | XII |  | St. Petersburg, Russ. Nat. Libr., Gr. 101 |
| 398 | X |  | Cambridge, Univ. Libr., Kk. 6.4 |
| 424 | XI |  | Vienna, Catalog number: Österreich Nat. Bibl. Theol. Gr. 302, folios 1-353 |
| 429 | XIV |  | Wolfenbüttel, Herz. Aug. Bibl., Codd. Aug. 16.7.4 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 436 | XI/XII |  | Vatican City, Vatican Library, Vat. gr. 367 |
| 442 | XII/III |  | Uppsala, Univ. Bibl., Gr. 1, p. 183-440 |
| 450 | X | 2 Nt | Vatican City, Vatican Libr., Vat. gr. 29 |
| 451 | XI |  | Vatican City, Vatican Lib., Urb. gr. 3 |
| 453 | XIV |  | Vatican Library, Barb. gr. 582 |
| 454 | X | 2 Nt | Florenz, Bibl. Medicea Laur., Plutei IV. 1 |
| 456 | X |  | Florence, Bibl. Medicea Laur. Plutei 4.30 |
| 457 | X | 2 Nt | Florence, Bibl. Medicea Laur., Plutei IV. 29 |
| 468 | XIII |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 101 |


| 469 | XIII | $2 \mathfrak{}$ | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 102A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 614 | XIII |  | Mailand, Bibl. Ambros., E. 97 sup. |
| 617 | XI |  | Venedig, Bibl. Naz. Marc., Gr. Z. 546 (786) |
| 621 | XI |  | Vatican Libr., Vat. gr. 1270 |
| 623 | 1037 |  | Vatican Libr., Vat. gr. 1650 |
| 627 | X | 2 it | Vatican Libr., Vat. gr. 2062 |
| 629 | XIV |  | Vatican Libr., Ottob. gr. 298 |
| 630 | XII/XIII |  | Vatican Libr., Ottob. gr. 325 |
| 642 | XIV |  | London, Lambeth Palace, 1185 |
| 720 | 1138 |  | Vienna, Aust., Nat. Bibl., Theol. gr. 79, 80 |
| 808 | XIV |  | Athens, Nat. Bibl., 2251 |
| 920 | X | 2 i | Escorial, $\Psi$. III. 18 |
| 945 | XI |  | Athos, Dionysiu, 124 (37) |
| 1067 | XIV |  | Athos, Kutlumusiu, 57 |
| 1127 | XII |  | Athos, Philotheu, 1811 (48) |
| 1175 | X |  | Patmos, Joannu, 16 |
| 1241 | XII |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery, Gr. 260 |
| 1243 | XI |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery, Gr. 262 |
| 1292 | XIII |  | Paris, Natl. Libr. Suppl. Gr. 1224 |
| 1409 | XIV |  | Athos, Xiropotamu, 244 (2806) |
| 1448 | XIV |  | Athos, Lavra, A' 13 |
| 1505 | XII |  | Athos, Lavra, B' 26 |
| 1523 | XIII/XIV |  | Vienna, Nat. Libr., Theol. gr. 141 |
| 1524 | XIV |  | Vienna, Nat. Libr., Theol. gr. 150 |
| 1611 | X |  | Athen, Nat. Bibl., 94 |
| 1678 | XIV |  | Athos, Panteleimonos, 770 |
| 1735 | X |  | Athos, Lavra B' 42 |
| 1739 | X |  | Athos, Lavra B' 64 |
| 1844 | XVI |  | Vatican Library, Vat. gr. 1227, fol. 256-305 |
| 1846 | XI |  | Vatican Library, Vat. gr. 2099 |
| 1852 | XIII |  | Uppsala, Univ.-Bibl., Ms. Gr. 11 |
| 1862 | IX-XI | 2 n | Athos, Pavlu, 117 (2) |
| 1875 | X | 1898 | Athen, Nat. Bibl., 149 |
| 1881 | XIV |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery, Gr. 300 |
| 1891 | X | +[2162, Acts 1,2] | Jerusalem, Orthod. Patriarchat, Saba, 107, 233 fol. |
| 2080 | XIV |  | Patmos, Ioannu, 12 |
| 2127 | XII | 1815 | Palermo, Bibl. Centrale, Dep. Mus. 4, fol. 1-229; 1815: Philadelphia, Pa., Free Libr., Lewis M 44: 27, 1 fil. (2P 3:15-1J 2:9) |
| 2138 | 1072 |  | Moscow, Univ. 2 (Gorkij-Bibl. 2280) |
| 2147 | XI/XII |  | St. Petersburg, Rss. Nat. Libr., Gr. 235 |
| 2200 | XIV |  | Elasson, Olympiotissis, 79 |
| 2298 | XII |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Gr. 102 |
| 2344 | XI |  | Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coislin Gr. 18, fol. 170-230 |
| 2412 | XII |  | Chicago, Univ. Libr., Ms. 922 |
| 2423 | XIII |  | Durham, N.C., Duke Univ. Libr., Gr. 3 |
| 2464 | IX |  | Patmos, Joannu, 742 |
| 2492 | XIV |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery; Gr. 1342, fol. 1-178 |
| 2495 | XV |  | Sinai, St. Catherine's Monastery; Gr. 1992 |
| 2541 | XII |  | St. Petersburg, Russ. Nat. Libr., Samml. d. Kirillo-Belozerskij-Klosters 120/125 |
| 2805 | XII/III |  | Athens, Studitu, 1 |
| 2818 | XII | 36aK | Paris, Natl. Libr.; Coislin Gr. 20 |

For the record, there are 517 hand-written Greek manuscripts containing at least some portion of the First Epistle of John, according to Text und Texwert.

## Endnote on 1 John 2:12-14

There is a significant variation between the NA28 text and the Robinson/Pierpont text here, as follows.
First the NA28:






Now the RP text:



үро́ø $\omega$ ن́pîv $\pi \alpha ı \delta i ́ \alpha ~ o ̈ \tau \imath ~ \varepsilon ̇ \gamma v ต ́ к \alpha \tau \varepsilon ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \alpha . ~$


The NA28 has two sets of 3 sayings. The RP has sets of 4 and 2, and begins verse 14 at the 5th saying rather than the 4th saying as the NA28 does. The NA28 says "to you I write" 3 times, and "to you I have written" 3 times. The RP text says "to you I write" 4 times, and "to you I have written" 2 times.

I propose the reason for this is some scribe changed the first "I have written," ( $火 \nmid \rho \alpha \psi \alpha)$ to, "I write," ( $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \varphi \omega$ ) because he saw this one "I have written" as a false statement. Since he was understanding the word ö $\tau \mathrm{l}$ as a quotation mark, and not as meaning "because" like almost all English translations do.

I will show it in English as he understood ő $\tau 1$, so you can clearly see what I mean:
To you children, I write: "Your sins are forgiven you for his name's sake."
To you fathers, I write: "You know him who existed from the beginning."
To you young men, I write: "You have overcome the evil one."

I have written to you children that you have known the Father.
I have written to you fathers that you have known him who existed from the beginning.
I have written to you young men that you are strong and the word of God abides in you and you have overcome the evil one.
The scribe saw the statement, "I have written to you children that you have known the Father," and thought it was false, since John had not stated such in the previous 3 sayings. So he changed it to "I write." ( $\gamma \gamma \alpha \dot{\varphi} \varphi \omega$ ) whereas all the other "I have writtens" match two previous "I write" statements.

As for me, I take the word ö $\tau 1$ as a quotation mark, rather than meaning "because." And the seeming false statement is no problem to me, because John is simply previewing what he will write in the epistle as a whole, not limiting what he is referring to to just the few previous verses.

## Supplement on the "Comma"

<< Do you have any information on the following mss cited in the quote below? I'm interested in the dates of these mss. I suspect they are all post-16th century. >>

BEGIN QUOTE: Dr. Floyd Nolen Jones, in his book Which Version is the Bible?, tells us: "As of 1997, the following cursive manuscripts are known to include the passage [I John 5:7-8 "Johannine Comma]: 34, 88 (margin) 99, 105, 110, 162, 173, 181, $190,193,219,220,221,298,429,629$ (margin) 635, 636, and 918. >>

First of all, the Mss. 88, 429 and 636 above have it only in the margin. Some of the other numbers I believe are Scrivener or Tischendorf numbers. Here are their dates and contents, if they are Gregory numbers, according to the Kurzgefaßte Liste (Aland, Kurt, Editor; Kurzgefaßte Liste, Der Griechischen Handschriften des Neuen Testaments; (Berlin \& New York, de Gruyter, 1994). Then I resolve them to what the Gregory number would be if they are Tischendorf numbers.

34 - X century, contains only gospels; Paris, Bibl. Nat., Coislin Gr. 199; is Tisch. \#34, so is Greg \#61 below

88 - XII - contains the passage only in margin; Neapel, Bibl. Naz., Ms. II. A. 7; is Greg \#88, Scriv \# 173
99 - XV-XVI century - contains only parts of the gospels; Leipzig, Univ. Bibl., Cod. Gr. 8; if Tisch \# is Greg 102 for Catholic epistles; date 1444, Moscow, and 102 contains 1 John but OMITS the comma.

105 - XII - contains only gospels, Acts, and Paulines; Oxford, Bodl. Libr., Auct. T. inf. 1. 10; if Tisch \# is Greg 242 for Catholic epistles; XII, Moscow; Scrivener \#48; von Soden $\delta 206$; and I have no definite information whether it contains 1 John at all. Try Matthaei (as I); Treu pp. 258-60; von Soden 138, 401, 450, 524.

110 - XII -What is now called \#110 contains 1 John, but OMITS the comma; KJV advocates when they refer to MS 110, are referring to a Codex Ravianus, also called Codex Berolinensis, which has been proven to be a forgery, a copy made in the 1500's, many parts from the Greek text of the Stunica printed Edition, reproducing every typographical error thereof, and other parts from the TR editions. See discussion re Georgius Gottlieb Pappelbaum's examination of it in "The Monthly Review" Volume 22, January to April 1797, Pages 493-497; downloadable from my website at http://www.bibletranslation.ws/trans/MonthlyReview.pdf . (PDF, 37 MB ) Georgius Pappelbaum collated Codex Berolinensis, and published his collation in 1785. F.H.A. Scrivener, in "A Plain Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament," Vol 2, 4th Ed., Wipf \& Stock Publishers, on Page 401, calls Evan 110 (Codex Ravianus), "a mere worthless copy from printed books."

162-1153 - contains only the gospels; Vatican City, Bibl. Vat., Barb. Gr. 449; BUT, is Tisch. \#, is Greg 629 below

173 - XII - contains only the gospels; Vatican City, Bibl. Vat., Barb. Gr. 1983; if Tisch. \#, is Greg 635, XI, Naples; and 635 contains 1 John, but OMITS the comma.

181 - X -contains 1 John, but OMITS the comma; Vatican City, Bibl. Vat., Reg. gr. 179; if Tisch. \#, is Greg 400, XV, Berlin, and 400 contains 1 John but OMITS the comma.

190 - XIII - contains only gospels; Florenz, Bibl. Medicea Laura, Plutei VI. 28; if Tisch. \#, Greg 517, XI/XII, Oxford, and 517 contains 1 John but OMITS the comma.

193 - XII - contains only gospels; Florenz, Bibl. Medicea Laura, Plutei VI. 32; if Tisch. \#, Greg 479, XIII, Birmingham, and 479 contains 1 John but OMITS the comma.

219 - XIII - contains only gospels; Wien, Österr. Nat. Bibl., Theol. gr. 321; if Tisch. \#, Greg 643, XIV, Brit Libr; and 643 contains 1 John but OMITS the comma.

220 - XIII - contains only gospels; Wien, Österr. Nat. Bibl., Theol. gr. 337; if Tisch. \#, Greg 644, XIV, Brit Libr; and 644 contains 1 John, but has a lacuna here.

221 - X -contains 1 John but OMITS the comma; Oxford, Bodl. Libr., Canon. Gr. 110; this is Greg \#221, X, Oxford, and 221 OMITS the comma as stated previously.

298 - XII - contains only gospels; Paris, Bibl. Nat., Suppl. Gr. 175; if Tich. \#, Greg formerly 1249 which contains 1 John but OMITS the comma; now Lectionary 1436, XV, St. Catherine's Monastery, Sinai

429 - XIV - contains the passage only in margin; Wolfenbüttel, Herzog August Bibliothek $16.7 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$; is Greg \#
635 - XI -contains 1 John but OMITS the comma; Neapel, Bibl. Naz., Ms. II. A. 8; ; is Greg \#635, but see 173 above
636 - XV - contains the passage only in margin by a corrector; Neapel, Bibl. Naz., Ms. II. A. 9; is Greg \#636
918 - XVI - does contain 1 John and the disputed passage; Escorial, ․ I. 5; is Greg \#918 below
Beware of people who still use the old Ms. numbers; I have seen people cite both the old number and the new number in a list together, making the one manuscript look like two in support of a reading.

According to the footnotes of the UBS4 Greek New Testament, and Text und Textwert Vol. 3, there are only 5 Greek mss that contain the passage in the text itself:

61 - XVI Century - Dublin, Trinity College, Ms. 30 (see 34 above)
629 - XIV Century - Vatican Library, Ottob. Gr. 298 (see 162 above)
918 - XVI Century - Escorial, ᄃ. I. 5
2318 - XVIII Century - Bucharest, Romania. Akad., 318 (234).
2473 - XVII Century - Athens, National Library, Taphu 545

## Greek mss that contain the comma in the margin:

88 - XII Century, but written in the margin by a modern hand; Naples, National Library, Ms. II. A. 7
177 - XVII - XVIII hand; Bayerische Staatsbibliothek (Bavarian State Library); Cod. graec. 211
221 - X Century; Oxford, Bodleian Library, Canon. Gr. 110. Suspect the margin writing a modern hand.
429 - XIV Century; Wolfenbüttel, Herzog August Bibliothek 16.7 A $^{0}$
636 - XV Century; Naples, National Library, Ms. II. A. 9
NOTE: Some KJV-only writers claim that there is a Greek manuscript named "Codex Wizanburgensis" that contains the Comma. But that is an 8th century Latin Vulgate manuscript, not Greek. Thanks to Jan Krans, who located it, we know that it is "nr. 99 of the Weissenburg collection in the Herzog August library in Wolfenbüttel ('Codex Guelferbytanus 99 Weissenburgensis'). It is the so-called 'Weissenburg Augustine', containing homilies by Augustine, in which also the Catholic Epistles, the Letters to Timothy, Titus and Philemon, and some other works are found (see Hans Butzmann, Die Weissenburger Handschriften ..., 1964, pp. 283-287)." Krans concludes: "It provides no more than an interesting part of the rather wild Latin-only transmission of the gloss." The Herzog August Library provides an image of part of the manuscript at this link:
http://www.hab.de/ausstellung/weissenburg/expo-15.htm

Here is the I John 5:7-8 passage in Greek and English, from the NA28 and the Stephanus' 1550 edition, ignoring the issue of moveable NUs:
 7 For there are three that bear witness: [[in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit: and these three are one.

8 And there are three that bear witness on earth:]] the Spirit and the water and the blood; and these three are as one. ${ }^{111}$

Following is a critical apparatus, gathered from Text und Textwert vol. 3; and the UBS4 Greek New Testament:
 A B K L P $\Psi 048049056014202961234561835363842435157626976818288 * 90939497102103104105110131133$ 141142149172175 177txt $180181189201203204205206209216218221 * 223226234250254256263296302307308309$ $312314319321322323325326327328330337363365367368378383384385386390393394398400404421424425429 *$ 431432436440442444450451452453454456457458459460462464465466467468469479483489491496498506517 522547582592601602603604605606607608614615616617618619620621622623624625627628630631632633634 635 636* 637638639641643656664665680699720743757794796801808824832876901910912913914915917919920 921922927928935941945959986996999100310221040105810661067106910701072107510941099110011011102 110311041105110611071115112711491161116211751241124212431244124512471248124912501251127012921297 131113151319135213541359136013671384139013981400140414051409142414481456148214901495150115031505 150815091521152315241548156315731594159515971598159916091610161116181619162216261628163616371642 164316461649165616611668167316781702170417171718171917201721172217231724172517261727172817291730 173117321733173517361737173817391740174117421743174417451746174717481749175017511752175317541757 175817611762176317651767176817691780182718281829183018311832183518361837183818391840184118421843 184418451846184718491850185118521853185418551856185718581860186118621863186418651867186818691870 187118721873187418751876187718801881188218851886188818891890189118921893189418951896189718991902 190320802085208621252127213021312138214321472180218621912194219722002218222122422243225522612279 228822892298234423522356237423782400240124042412242324312464246624752483248424922494249525012502 250825112516252325272541254425542558258726252626262726522653267426752691269627042705271227162718 2723273627462774277627772805 Lect itar vgst,ww $^{\text {syrp,h }} \operatorname{cop}^{(s a), \text { bo }}$ arm $^{\text {mss }}$ eth geo slav Clement ${ }^{\text {lat (Origen }}$ lat) (Cyril) PsDionysius ${ }^{\text {vid }}$ (John-Damascus); Rebaptism Ambrose Augustine Quodvultdeus Facundus Erasmus Eds. 1,2 HF RP NA28 \{A\} lacuna $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245122$ et al. (If a MS does not contain 1 John, it will not be on this lacuna list.)

I see 5 general groupings of the Comma: (Erasmus' first two editions OMITTED the Comma.)

## Group One:


 except for moveable NUs, acc. to Scrivener. 221 mg and Stephens may differ in that regard as well; Stephens 1550 dropping the last NU.)







[^5]




## Group Two:

 ű $\delta \omega \rho$, к $\alpha i \alpha \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha .61$ (acc. to Text und Textwert)
 $\pi v \varepsilon \hat{u} \mu \alpha$, ű $\delta \omega \rho$, к $\alpha \grave{i} \alpha \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha .61$ (acc. to Scrivener)
 $\pi v \varepsilon \hat{\mu} \mu$, tò ט̈ $\delta \omega \rho$, кגì tò $\alpha \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$. 429 mg

## Group Three:




## Group Four:



## Group Five:

 $\gamma \eta \varsigma ~]]$, то $\pi v \varepsilon \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha$ к $\alpha 1$ то 0 ט́ $\omega \rho$ к $\alpha 1$ то $\alpha \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$. Complutensian Polyglot (Yes, it is accented and breathed differently)
 tò $\alpha \hat{i} \mu \alpha .629$

## Now the Latins:

add in terra, spiritus et aqua et sanguis (+ et hi tres unum sunt in Christo Iesu vgmss; Spec). 8 et tres sunt, qui testimonium dicunt in caelo, pater, verbum (filius itl ${ }^{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{\mathrm{mmss}}$ ) et spiritus, et hi tres unum sunt (+ in Christo Iesu itl $\mathrm{vgmss}^{\mathrm{mt}}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{r}} \mathrm{vgmss}$; Speculum Varimadum Ps-Vigilius (Fulgentius) (Priscillian) (Cyprian)

Lat-K reads: (7) quia tres testimonium perhibent (8) spiritus et aqua et sanguis et isti tres in unum sunt [...] pater et filius et spiritus sanctus et tres unum sunt "because three bear witness, spirit and water and blood, and these three are one [...] Father and Son and Holy Spirit, and the three are one" (This is a reconstruction based on an allusion by Cyprian.)

Lat-C reads: (7) tres sunt qui testimonium dicunt in terra (8) spiritus aqua et sanguis et hi(i) tres unum sunt in Christo Iesu et tres sunt qui testimonium dicunt in caelo pater verbum et spiritus et hi(i) tres unum sunt "There are three who bear witness on earth: spirit, water and blood, and these three are one in Christ Jesus. And there are three who bear witness in heaven: the Father, the Word and the Spirit, and these three are one."

Lat-T reads: (7) quoniam tres sunt qui testificantur in terra (8) spiritus et aqua et sanguis et tres sunt qui testificantur in caelo pater et filius et spiritus sanctus et hi(i) tres unum sunt "because there are three who bear witness on earth: spirit and water and blood, and three who bear witness in heaven: Father and Son and Holy Spirit, and these three are one."

Following is from the UBS textual commentary (Metzger, Bruce M., A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament, on behalf of and in cooperation with the Editorial Committee of the United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament: Kurt Aland, Matthew Black, Carlo M. Martini, Bruce M. Metzger, and Allen Wikgren (Stuttgart, United Bible Societies, Corrected Edition, ©1975):

## (A) EXTERNAL EVIDENCE.

(1) The passage is absent from every known Greek manuscript except four, and these contain the passage in what appears to be a translation from a late recension of the Latin Vulgate. These four manuscripts are ms. 61, a sixteenth century manuscript formerly at Oxford, now at Dublin; ms. 88, a twelfth century manuscript at Naples, which has the passage written in the margin by a modern hand; ms. 629, a fourteenth or fifteenth century manuscript in the Vatican; and ms. 635 , an eleventh century manuscript which has the passage written in the margin by a seventeenth century hand.
(2) The passage is quoted by none of the Greek Fathers, who, had they known it, would most certainly have employed it in the Trinitarian controversies (Sabellian and Arian). Its first appearance in Greek is in a Greek version of the (Latin) Acts of the Lateran Council in 1215.
(3) The passage is absent from the manuscripts of all ancient versions (Syriac, Coptic, Armenian, Ethiopic, Arabic, Slavonic), except Latin; and it is not found (a) in the Old Latin in its early form (Tertullian, Cyprian, Augustine), or in the Vulgate (b) as issued by Jerome (codex Fulgensis [copied A.D. 541-46] and codex Amiatinus [copied before A.D. 716]) or (c) as revised by Alcuin (first hand of codex Vercellensis [ninth century]).

The earliest instance of the passage being quoted as a part of the actual text of the Epistle is in a fourth century Latin treatise entitled Liber Apologeticus (chap. 4), attributed either to the Spanish heretic Priscillian (died about 385) or to his follower Bishop Instantius. Apparently the gloss arose when the original passage was understood to symbolize the Trinity (through the mention of three witnesses; the Spirit, the water, and the blood), an interpretation which may have been written first as a marginal note that afterwards found its way into the text. In the fifth century the gloss was quoted by Latin Fathers in North Africa and Italy as part of the text of the Epistle, and from the sixth century onwards it is found more and more frequently in manuscripts of the Old Latin and of the Vulgate. In these various witnesses the wording of the passage differs in several particulars. (For examples of other intrusions into the Latin text of 1 John, see 2:17; 4:3; 5:6,20.)

## (B) INTERNAL PROBABILITIES.

(1) As regards transcriptional probability, if the passage were original, no good reason can be found to account for its omission, either accidentally or intentionally, by copyists of hundreds of Greek manuscripts, and by translators of ancient versions.
(2) As regards intrinsic probability, the passage makes an awkward break in the sense.

For the story of how the spurious words came to be included in the Textus Receptus, see any critical commentary on 1 John, or Metzger, The Text of the New Testament, pp. 101 f.; cf. also Ezra Abbot, "I. John v. 7 and Luther's German Bible," in The Authorship of the Fourth Gospel and Other Critical Essays (Boston, 1888), pp. 458-463. [End of quotation of the UBS commentary]
F. H. A. Scrivener (whom KJV advocates respect) speaks on the "Johannine Comma," in these excerpts from "A Plain Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament," Volume Two, 4th Ed., Edited by Edward Miller, Wipf \& Stock Publishers, Eugene, Oregon.

On Page 401 he says, "The authenticity of the words within brackets, will, perhaps, no longer be maintained by any one whose judgment ought to have weight"

In footnote 2 on p. 402, he says, "It is really surprising how loosely persons who cannot help being scholars, at least in some degree, will talk about codices containing this clause." He goes on to mention a Dr. Tatham, Rector of Lincoln College, Oxford, claiming the existence of a codex in the college library that contained it, but upon further investigation by fellow scholars, it had disappeared. Scrivener thinks Dr. Tatham had in mind Act 33, and had only assumed it contained it, because of this MS' connection to Codex Montfortianus.

On p. 403, about the text of the Comma, Scrivener repeats a maxim of Textual Criticism: "In general there is very considerable variety of reading (always a suspicious circumstance, as has already been explained)..."

I used this work by Scrivener to help collate above, what the various Erasmus editions read as far as variants within the Comma. As for the minuscules containing the comma, Scrivener only knew of 61 (he calls 34 ), 88 mg (he calls 173), and 629 (he calls 162). He dismisses Codex Ravianus (gospels 110) as worthless.

Scrivener on p. 403 says he knew of 193 cursives which were witnesses to the omission of the Comma, plus "the extant uncials 01 A B KLP."

Scrivener says, "No printed edition, therefore, is found to agree with either 34 or 162 ( 173 , whose margin is so very recent, only differs from the common text by dropping N EPHELKUSTIKON [moveable NU]), though on the whole 162 best suits the Complutensian: but the omission of the article in ver. 7 , while it stands in ver. 8 , proves that the disputed clause was interpolated (probably from its parallel Latin) by one who was very ill acquainted with Greek."

CONCERNING the story that Erasmus included the Comma into his 3rd through 5th editions based on a promise to do so if anyone could produce one Greek manuscript that contain it, here is an article by H. J. De Jonge, who has done the most research into it. It is a 623 KB PDF document:
https://openaccess.leidenuniv.nl/retrieve/1699/279_050.pdf downloadable from Leiden University.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 1: 2$ omit "life" K
    ${ }^{2}$ 1:3 txt include "and" א A B CC K L $\Psi 561861889410442444261462162372012431523$ min Did Ps-Oec TR HF RP NA28 \{<br>\(\left|\mid omit "and" C* P

[^1]:    ${ }^{35}$ 2:29a txt $\varepsilon$ हा
     $330398424^{\mathrm{T}} 4426146216236291175124112431875214723442412246428052818$ copbo eth || or $\delta \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (perf act ind 2nd pl) 468 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 04802450296$ 1846. The difference this makes in translation is you could render the perfect, "Since you know..."
    ${ }^{36}$ 2:29b txt omit B K L $\Psi 0490560142188130742412432492 \mathfrak{n t}$ it vgmss syrh copsams ${ }^{2}$,bo arm Ambr Aug TR HF RP || k $\alpha$ i א A C P 33323436442614630945124114481505161117351739185221382298234424642805 vg syrp copsamss NA28 $\{\backslash\}\left|\mid\right.$ lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 0480245$ 0296. The ECM editors consider the evidence for these two readings to be of equal weight.
    ${ }^{37}$ 3:1a (TST 63) txt L. 2: кגì દ̇ $\sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v ~ p^{74 v i d}$ א A B C P Y 563381104206307322323398 424c 429436442614621623629 6309451067 (1241 om. кגì) 124312921409150516111678173517391852187518812080213821472200229823442412 246424952541 (2805) 2818 itar,h,l,z vg syr(p),h copsa,bo eth geo slav Justinvid; Augustine NA28 \{A\} || L. 1: omit K L 049056 $0142118828893181221326424^{*} 450451454456457468469627920112711751862189121272492$ 2nt Lect ps-oec vgms copsams ${ }^{\text {ms }}$ TR RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} 048024502961846$.
    ${ }^{38} 3: 1 \mathrm{~b}$ txt $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma P^{74} \aleph^{1}$ A B $\Psi 3332361463094515051739$ al lat syrp,h copsa,bo Cllat TR NA27 $\{\backslash\}$ || ن́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \aleph^{*}$ C K L P 81424 1241 Nt vgmss HF RP || lac $\mathrm{P}^{9} 048024502961846$.
     $6301505 \mathfrak{d t}$ syrp copsa ${ }^{\text {ss }}$, bo Origenpt TR HF RP || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} 048024502961846$.
    
     $117512921505161118441877212721382298241224922495 \mathfrak{M i}$ vgcl,ww syrp copsa ${ }^{\text {mss }}$, fay geo slav Ath (von Soden:
     Committee regarded the reading $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i ́ \alpha \varsigma ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ to be a scribal assimilation to such passages as 2:2 and 4:10.
    ${ }^{41}$ 3:9 It is a problem here for most Bibles, which say that a person born of God is not able to sin. The above is the only sensible and possible rendering of the Greek of this verse. John is in unity with Paul, in that he teaches there is a new man in us and an old man. Also in unity with Paul that we must continually make the decision to "put off the old man, and put on the new." The new is that part that came from the Father, just as we physically were born from our earthly father's sperm. That sperm was wholly our father, and not us. That sperm, that seed, was no part of us, but is from outside us. It cannot sin; our old man can. But, do not think that I say that a Christian can be addicted to the same sin

[^2]:     184418521877188121382298241224642492 nt Lect itar,q,r,t,w,z vgl, ww syrp,h Cllat Orpt Did TR AT HF BG RP [WH] NA28
     (Methodius); Aug ${ }^{1 / 2}$ SBL TH || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{P} 04802450296$. See the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ in v. 19, which may have caused this one. In Greek the article could serve as the possessive pronoun, especially if the pronoun was already used, as it was in this case in the context in v. 20. Thus there is no translatable difference to this variant.
     330424 c 43645161462963094510671175124312921409161117351739184418771881212721382298234424122492 $\mathfrak{2 r}$ Lect itar,q,w,z vg syrp,h copsa,bo,fay arm eth geo slav Clementlat Methodius Didymus ${ }^{1 / 2}$ Hesychiuslat; Cyprian Jerome
     Augustine ${ }^{1 / 2}$ NA28 \{B\} || к $\alpha \tau \alpha \gamma ı v \omega \sigma \kappa n ~ u ́ \mu \omega ̂ v ~ 124115052495$ || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{P} 04802450296$.
    ${ }^{60}$ 3:23a (TST 65) txt L. 1/2: đıఠtعט́ $\omega \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ B K L $049118828893175181221307326424^{*} 45045445662792011271175$
     $81206322323398424 c^{1}, \mathrm{c}^{2} 42943644245145746846961462162963094512411292150516111739184618521881$
     2344 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{P} 048$ 0296. The ECM editors consider L. $1 / 2$ and L. 3 to be of equal weight.
    
    
     323 424* $12411505 \mathfrak{n t}$ latt syrp HF RP \| үıv
    
    ${ }^{64}$ 4:3c (TST 67) L. 2: omit A B 322323398 629* $720^{*} 94512411735173918812298$ itr $^{\text {vg cop }}$ sa,bo Cyr Irenaeuslat Clement
     307326330424429436442450451454456457459468469614623627629 C 630757920106711271175124312921409 1505161116781837184518461852186218751891208021382127214722002412246424922495254128052818 LeontH PsOec TR HF RP || L. 4: add ह̇v $\sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i$ ह̀ $\lambda \eta \lambda \iota \theta \varepsilon v \alpha 1332344$ Thdrt || h.t. or h.a. 621 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C}$ P 04802450296. The UBS textual commentary says, "A majority of the Committee considered it probable that the shortest reading tov 'I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ v$, which is supported by good representatives of both Alexandrian and Western types of text..., was expanded by
     supplements is a further indication that they are secondary modifications of the original text." See next footnote.

[^3]:    ${ }^{75} 4: 19$ txt $\{\mathrm{A}\} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v \mathfrak{P}^{74 v i d}$ A B $5322323424 c 945124112431739185218812464$ vgst,ww geo slav Augustine ${ }^{6 / 10}$ NA28 \{A\} || ả $\gamma \alpha \pi \omega \hat{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v$ tóv $\theta \varepsilon o ́ v N 048338132643661462$ vvid 63010671292140915051611173521382344241224951598
    
     copsa,bomss \|l lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9}$ C P 0245 0296. UBS textual commentary: "Feeling the need of an accusative object after the verb, especially when it was (wrongly) taken to be the hortatory subjunctive, some copyists added tóv $\theta$ 的 ${ }^{\prime}$ and others aútóv." The variety of longer readings betrays their spuriousness, and the originality of the shorter reading.
     0480142561833 vid $81323424^{*}$ vid 6141127150523442805 || illeg. 1241, but room for $\mu \iota \sigma \varepsilon \imath\left|\mid l a c ~ \mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C}\right.$ P 02450296 . It is significant when 1678 parts from K .
     22002495 syrh copsa geo Origen Cyprian Lucifer Zeno NA28 \{A\} || L. 1: $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \delta u ́ v a \tau \alpha 1 ~ " h o w ~ c a n ~ h e ? " ~ A ~ K ~ L ~ 048 ~ 049 ~ 056 ~$ $01421518338182889394104175181221307326330398424 * 436450451454456457459468469614623627629$ 757920945106711271175124112921409167817351837184418451846186218751877188118912080214722982344 $241224642492254128052818 \mathfrak{n t}$ Lect itr,l,q,w vg syrp copbo arm eth slav Cyril; Ambrose Pelagius Augustine TR HF RP $\bullet \|$ L. 1b: $2127 \|$ || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} P 0245$ 0296. John elsewhere wrote an expression similar to the Majority Text reading here, but
    
    
    ${ }^{78} 5: 1$ txt kaì tòv A K L P 0490560142188188104181322323330424436451614629 vid 6309451067117512411243 $1292140915051611173517391844184618521877188121272138229823442412246424922495 \mathfrak{n t}$ Lect vgcl syrp,h copbo arm eth slav Alexandervid Cyril-Jerusalem; Hilary ${ }^{1 / 2}$ Maximus Fulgentius ${ }^{1 / 4}$ Cassiodorus TR HF RP NA28 \{C\} || кגi tò א 69 pc || tòv B $\Psi$ ( 048 to_) 33623262298 itar,l,, , r vgst,ww copsa,boms geo Hilary ${ }^{1 / 2}$ Augustine Speculum Ps-Vigilius Vigilius Fulgentius ${ }^{3 / 4} \mid$ | lac $^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 02450296$.
    79 5:2 txt $\pi \circ 1 \omega \hat{\omega} \varepsilon \nu$ В $\Psi 81322323326436614$ (623) 630945106712921409150516111739184418522138229823442412 24642495 itar,l,q,r vg syrp,h copsa,bo arm eth geo Lucifer Augustine NA28 \{B\} \|| $\tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v(5: 3) \aleph(A v i d ~ \tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v . . . \tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ omit by homoioteleuton) K L P $04905601421888104181330424451629117512411243173518461877188121272492 \mathfrak{2 k}$
    
     614621623629630945106711271243129214091505161117391846185218752080213821472200229823442412
     $175221398424^{*} 45045445645762792011751241167817351862188118912127$ vgmss syrp${ }^{m s}$ eth HF || uncertain 0296 || lac $\mathfrak{P}^{9} \mathfrak{P}^{74} \mathrm{C} 0245$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{88} 5: 8$ a Literally, "these three are as one," which means, "these three agree."
     014202961561881828893104175181221307322323326398424442450451454457468469614621623627630 920945112711751241124312921505161116781735173918461852186218912080212721382147220022982344
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{90} 5: 9$ txt ötı א A B $\Psi 5633323424 \mathrm{c} 623945124112431448^{* v i d} 15051739185221382344246424922805$ lat copsabo arm Cyr NA28 \{<br>\(\left|\mid ท̌v K L P

[^5]:    111 that is, "these three agree."

